

## UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Demark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/202,21 <i>6</i>	04/08/99	ATARÁSHI	T	Q52648
_			* * *	EXAMINER
SUGHRUE MI	ON ZINN MACE	HM22/0921 PEAK & SEAS	WARE	, T
	YLVANIA AVEN	NUE NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	DC 20037		1615	1/4
			DATE MAILED	09/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No.	2	Applicant(s)	
09/202,216		ATARASHI ET AL.	
Examin r	•	Art Unit	
Todd D Ware		1615	•

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☐ Other:

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitation the base particle is surrounded by plural consideration as it was not presented in the originally filed claims. Applicant states that the claims are amended as suggested by the examiner, however, no suggestion that the claims would be allowable if they included language pertaining to surrounding the base particle was made in the final rejection of 6-5-01. It appears applicants are relying upon "Applicants' arguments would be credible if the particles were hollow or liquid as a shell surrounding liquid or an empty shell would not require a base particle" from the final rejection, which was made regarding applicants' arguments that '443 does not teach that the pigments have a core and therefore do not meet the requirements of the instant claims where the powder comprises a base particle, upon which layers are coated. However the statement pertained to the particles of '443. In other words, if the particles of '443 were hollow or had a shell surrounding liquid or had an empty shell, then applicants' arguments of 3-20-01 would be credible. The particles of '443 are solid and therefore meet the requirement of a base particle as they must necessarily possess a center.

THURINAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600